Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

On the compression behaviour of  $(Ti_{0.5}, V_{0.5})_2$ AIC and  $(Ti_{0.5}, Nb_{0.5})_2$ AIC to quasi-hydrostatic pressures above 50 GPa

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2007 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 19 246215 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/19/24/246215)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 28/05/2010 at 19:14

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 19 (2007) 246215 (8pp)

# On the compression behaviour of $(Ti_{0.5}, V_{0.5})_2AIC$ and $(Ti_{0.5}, Nb_{0.5})_2AIC$ to quasi-hydrostatic pressures above 50 GPa

# Bouchaib Manoun<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Fuxiang Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Surendra K Saxena<sup>1</sup>, Surojit Gupta<sup>3</sup> and Michel W Barsoum<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Center for Study of Matter at Extreme Conditions (CeSMEC), Florida International University, VH-140, University Park, Miami, FL 33199, USA

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire de Physico-Chimie des Matériaux, Département de Chimie, FST Errachidia,

University Moulay Ismail, Morocco

<sup>3</sup> Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

E-mail: manounb@fiu.edu

Received 27 March 2007, in final form 24 April 2007 Published 24 May 2007 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/19/246215

# Abstract

Using a synchrotron x-ray radiation source and a diamond anvil cell we measured the functional dependences of the lattice parameters of  $(Ti_{0.5}, V_{0.5})_2$ AlC and  $(Ti_{0.5}, Nb_{0.5})_2$ AlC to quasi-hydrostatic pressure of the order of 50 GPa. Like for other solids in this family of layered ternary carbides and nitrides, the bulk moduli ( $\approx$ 183 GPa) are high and no phase transformations were observed. The bulk moduli of the solid solution compositions were lower than those of the end members. The effect was much more dramatic when Ti replaced Nb in Nb<sub>2</sub>AlC than when it replaced V in V<sub>2</sub>AlC. In the former case the modulus drops by 13% from 209 GPa, with the softening coming almost exclusively from the *c*-axis. This somewhat surprising result is ascribed to the corrugation of the Ti–Nb planes in  $(Ti_{0.5}, Nb_{0.5})_2$ AlC. When Ti replaced V in V<sub>2</sub>AlC the drop in modulus was 8%.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

# 1. Introduction

The  $M_{n+1}AX_n$  (MAX) compounds, where n = 1, 2 or 3, M is an early transition metal, A is an A-group (mostly IIIA and IVA) element, and X is C or N, have been studied extensively these last few years [1–5]. These carbides adopt a hexagonal crystal structure consisting of layers of edge sharing MC<sub>6</sub> octahedra interleaved with square-planar A layers. The edge sharing TiC<sub>6</sub>

<sup>4</sup> Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

0953-8984/07/246215+08\$30.00 © 2007 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK

octahedra are identical to those found in the rock salt structure of the corresponding binary carbides, MX [6].

Among the M<sub>2</sub>AX phases, Ti<sub>2</sub>AlC has been widely studied due to its commercial availability, superb oxidation resistance even under severe thermal cycling [7]. It is also a good thermal and electrical conductor. Its density is comparable to Ti, but its elastic moduli are roughly three times as high. It is also damage tolerant and thermal shock resistant. It is relatively soft (Vickers hardness  $\approx 2$  GPa) and most readily machinable [8]. This combination of properties derives partially from the metallic nature of the bonding, partially from the layered nature of the structure and partially from the fact that basal plane dislocations are mobile at all temperatures. We have also recently shown that the attenuation of sound waves in Ti<sub>2</sub>AlC was higher than many woods and comparable to some polymers [9, 10].

Over the last few years a concerted effort has been made to try to understand the relationship between MAX phase chemistries and their mechanical and elastic properties. Most germane to this paper are the bulk moduli. Recently Manoun *et al* [11–15] reported on the compression behaviour of M<sub>2</sub>AlC (M = Ti, V, Cr, Nb and Ta) [11], Ti<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>0.5</sub>Ge<sub>0.5</sub>C<sub>2</sub> [12], Zr<sub>2</sub>InC [13], Ti<sub>4</sub>AlN<sub>3</sub> [14] and Ta<sub>4</sub>AlC<sub>3</sub> [15]. In all cases, like in Ti<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub> [16], no phase transitions were observed up to pressures of the order of 55 GPa. The isothermal bulk moduli,  $K_0$ , of these compounds, varied from a high of 261 GPa for Ta<sub>4</sub>AlC<sub>3</sub> [15], to a low of 127 GPa for Zr<sub>2</sub>InC [13]. For the most part, the relative shrinkage along the *c*-direction with pressure was greater than along the *a*-direction. The exceptions were Cr<sub>2</sub>AlC [11], Nb<sub>2</sub>AsC [17] and Nb<sub>2</sub>AlC [11]. The Ta-containing phases were unique in that the shrinkages along both directions were quite similar [11, 15].

Recently we have also explored the effects of solid solutions on the X-sites and A-sites. For example, it has been shown that replacing the C by N in Ti<sub>2</sub>AlC results in a decrease in bulk moduli [18]. Replacing Ge by Si in Ti<sub>3</sub>GeC<sub>2</sub>, on the other hand, does not affect  $K_0$  greatly [19]. Interestingly, only the substitutions on the X-sites result in significant hardening [8]. This paper represents our first attempt to explore the effects of solid solution substitutions on the M-sites on  $K_0$ . The excellent miscibility of the solid solution series on the M-sites in M<sub>2</sub>AlC (M = Ti, V, Nb and Cr) systems was experimentally confirmed by Schuster and co-workers in 1980 [20]. More recently, Salama *et al* synthesized (Ti<sub>0.5</sub>, Nb<sub>0.5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>AlC and investigated its mechanical properties and observed no solid solution hardening effects [21].

Sun *et al* [22–24] carried out *ab initio* total energy calculations using the projector augmented wave method on M<sub>2</sub>AlC (M = Ti, V, Cr, Ta and Nb) and (Ti, V)<sub>2</sub>AlC [22]. The  $K_0$  values—calculated from theory (see table 1) were predicted to increase by 19% and 24%, respectively, as Ti was substituted by V or Nb.

The combination of easy machinability, relative low densities (of some of the phases) and high elastic constants, together with the possibility of extremely high damping [25, 26] is one that to date had not been possible. Thus one motivation for this work was to identify compositions of potential technological interest. The second motivation was to directly measure, for the first time,  $K_0$  of (Ti<sub>0.5</sub>,Nb<sub>0.5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>AlC and (Ti<sub>0.5</sub>,V<sub>0.5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>AlC—henceforth referred as TiNbAlC and TiVAlC, respectively—and compare them to the end members compositions measured previously, viz. Ti<sub>2</sub>AlC, V<sub>2</sub>AlC and Nb<sub>2</sub>AlC. We were also interested in exploring the stability of these phases at high pressures.

### 2. Experimental details

The processing details of the TiVAIC samples were described elsewhere [27]. In short, Ti, V, Al and C powders were mixed in the stoichiometric composition and sealed in borosilicate glass tubes under a mechanical vacuum. The tubes were heated to 650 °C for 10 h, which resulted in their collapse. The collapsed tubes were placed in a hot isostatic press (HIP), which was

**Table 1.** Ambient pressure lattice parameters and unit cell volumes of the M<sub>2</sub>AlC compounds and solid solutions measured herein. Also listed are previous results. The space group adopted for all phases is  $P6_3/mmc$ . References [6, 20] and [21] are experimental measurements. References [23] and [34] are theoretical; reference [33] is both.

M <sub>2</sub> AlC	V <sub>2</sub> AlC	TiVAlC	Ti <sub>2</sub> AlC	TiNbAlC	Nb <sub>2</sub> AlC
a (Å)	$\begin{array}{c} 2.914 \pm 0.003 \\ 2.909^{b} \\ 2.925^{d} \end{array}$	$2.98 \pm 0.01$ $2.979^{g}$ $2.975^{b}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.065 \pm 0.004 \\ 3.058^{a} \\ 3.052^{b} \\ 3.062^{d} \end{array}$	$3.08 \pm 0.01$ $3.077^{a}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.103 \pm 0.004 \\ 3.106^{a} \\ 3.107^{e} \\ 3.129^{f} \end{array}$
c (Å)	$\begin{array}{c} 13.19 \pm 0.03 \\ 13.127^{b} \\ 13.105^{d} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.42 \pm 0.04 \\ 13.471^{g} \\ 13.393^{b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.71 \pm 0.03 \\ 13.624^{a} \\ 13.64^{b} \\ 13.673^{d} \end{array}$	$13.80 \pm 0.04$ $13.79^{a}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.93 \pm 0.03 \\ 13.888^a \\ 13.888^e \\ 13.895^f \end{array}$
$V_0$ (Å) <sup>3</sup>	$97.0\pm0.7$	$102.8\pm0.6$	$111.6\pm0.6$	$113.4\pm0.7$	$116.2\pm0.7$

<sup>a</sup> Reference [33].

<sup>b</sup> Reference [20].

<sup>c</sup> Reference [6].

<sup>d</sup> Reference [23].

<sup>e</sup> Reference [21].

<sup>f</sup> Reference [34].

<sup>g</sup> Reference [22].

heated at 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 650 °C, followed by a rate of 2 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 750 °C. The chamber was then pressurized to 40 MPa using Ar; once pressurized, the heating continued at 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 1600 °C. The samples were held at that temperature for 8 h under a pressure of  $\approx$ 70 MPa. A similar procedure was employed to fabricate the TiNbAlC samples [21]. Here again the samples were held at 1600 °C for 8 h at  $\approx$ 100 MPa.

The x-ray diffraction, XRD, patterns for TiNbAlC and TiVAlC were collected using a  $\lambda = 0.496$  Å of a monochromatic beam focused down to a 35  $\mu$ m spot size, collected at CHESS (Cornell Univ., Ithaca, NY). Diffraction rings were recorded between  $2\theta = 1^{\circ}$  and  $35^{\circ}$  using an image plate.

Measurements were conducted at room temperature; powdered samples were pressurized using a gasketed Diamond Anvil Cell (DAC) with a 300 to 400  $\mu$ m culet. A 250  $\mu$ m initial thickness rhenium gasket, was indented to about 40–60  $\mu$ m.

The stress state of a sample compressed in a DAC can become highly nonhydrostatic if the material is hard and has low compressibility like the MAX phases. However, it has been shown that the sample pressure can be rendered nearly hydrostatic by using a large volume of a low shear strength material as a pressure-transmitting medium. We have repeatedly shown that Al—with its low shear modulus and lack of phase transitions—was a good pressure-transmitting medium [11–15, 18, 19, 28, 29]. Another advantage of Al is the fact that its pressure–volume relationship is well established [30]. In this work, powdered samples were placed between two 15  $\mu$ m thick Al foils, before packing them in the 100–150  $\mu$ m hole in the Re gasket.

The FIT2D software [31, 32] was employed to convert the image plate records into  $2\theta$ 's and intensities. The *a* and *c* lattice cell parameters were determined using least squares refinement on individually fitted peaks.

# 3. Results and discussion

All the major peaks in the XRD patterns of studied phases were assigned to the hexagonal structure with the space group  $P6_3/mmc$ . Figure 1 shows the indexed XRD pattern for



**Figure 1.** (a) X-ray diffraction pattern of TiNbAlC taken at room conditions, ( $\lambda = 0.4066$  Å). (b) Functional dependence of high-pressure XRD spectra for TiVAlC on quasi-hydrostatic pressure, P ( $\lambda = 0.496$  Å). (c) Functional dependence of high-pressure XRD spectra for TiNbAlC on P ( $\lambda = 0.496$  Å). In both cases, upon compression, most peaks remain visible until the highest pressures are reached. With increasing P, the peaks become broader, lose intensity, and some merge together. No extra peaks appear in the patterns up to about 50 GPa.

NbTiAlC. This spectrum was recorded, using a wavelength of 0.4066 Å, at HPCAT (APS, Chicago). In general the agreement between the unit cell parameters measured in this study, those previously reported [6, 20, 21, 33, 34], and those predicted from the *ab initio* calculations [22, 23] is acceptable (table 1). Typical high-pressure XRD spectra of TiVAlC (figure 1(b)) and TiNbAlC (figure 1(c)) recorded under quasi-hydrostatic pressure show that for both samples, most peaks remain visible until the highest pressures reached. With increasing pressure, however, the peaks become broader, lose intensity, and some merge together. No extra peaks appear in the patterns up to about 50 GPa, which indicates the stability of these phases under quasi-hydrostatic pressure and is in line with previous work. As noted above, to date all MAX phases have been stable up to the highest pressures reached ( $\approx$ 50 GPa).

Figure 2(a) plots the variations in  $a/a_0$  and  $c/c_0$  versus applied quasi-hydrostatic pressure P. (The subscripts refer to the values of a and c when P = 1 atm.) A second order polynomial least square fits resulted in the coefficients listed in table 2. Note that for both quaternaries the contraction along the c-direction is greater than along the a-direction. Interestingly, Ti<sub>2</sub>AlC



**Figure 2.** Pressure dependences of, (a) the  $a/a_0$  and  $c/c_0$  and, (b)  $V/V_0$  for TiVAIC and TiNbAIC. The lines are least square fits of the data points. Also included in (b) are the results for the end members taken from [11].

**Table 2.** Relative lattice parameter changes with pressure, P.  $P_0$  defines the units used and is equal to 1 GPa. The correlation coefficient values in all cases were greater than 0.99.

M <sub>2</sub> AlC	Max pressure (GPa)	$a/a_0 = 1 + \beta P/P_0 + \gamma (P/P_0)^2$	$c/c_0 = 1 + \beta P/P_0 + \gamma (P/P_0)^2$
V <sub>2</sub> AlC	47	$1 - 0.0010 \ P/P_0 - 7 \times 10^{-7} \ (P/P_0)^2$	$1 - 0.0022 P/P_0 + 2 \times 10^{-5} (P/P_0)^2$
VTiAlC	47	$1 - 0.0017 P/P_0 + 10^{-5} (P/P_0)^2$	$1 - 0.0018 P/P_0 + 10^{-5} (P/P_0)^2$
Ti <sub>2</sub> AlC	55	$1 - 0.0011 \ P/P_0 + 7 \times 10^{-7} \ (P/P_0)^2$	$1 - 0.0024 P/P_0 + 2 \times 10^{-5} (P/P_0)^2$
TiNbAlC	53	$1 - 0.0015 P/P_0 + 9 \times 10^{-6} (P/P_0)^2$	$1 - 0.0025 P/P_0 + 2 \times 10^{-5} (P/P_0)^2$
Nb <sub>2</sub> AlC	38	$1 - 0.0014 P/P_0 + 3 \times 10^{-6} (P/P_0)^2$	$1 - 0.0014 P/P_0 + 9 \times 10^{-6} (P/P_0)^2$

and V<sub>2</sub>AlC behave in the same way, while the opposite is true for Nb<sub>2</sub>AlC. The differences between  $a/a_0$  and  $c/c_0$  are greater in the case of TiNbAlC than TiVAlC.

From relative unit cell volumes,  $V/V_0$ , versus P plots (figure 2(b))—where  $V_0$  is the unit cell volume when P = 1 atm—it is clear that the bulk moduli of TiNbAlC and TiVAlC are

**Table 3.** Relative unit cell volume changes,  $V/V_0$ , with pressure and summary of experimental bulk moduli. The pressure derivative,  $K'_0$ , were assumed to be 4. All correlation coefficient values were >0.99. Also included, in the last column, the *ab initio* total energy calculation results by Sun *et al* [22, 24].

Solid	$V/V_0 = \alpha + \beta P/P_0 + \gamma (P/P_0)^2$	$K_0$ (GPa)	$K_0'$	$K_0$ (GPa)
V <sub>2</sub> AlC	$1 - 0.0043 P/P_0 + 2 \times 10^{-5} (P/P_0)^2$	$201 \pm 3$	4.0	195
TiVAlC	$1 - 0.0050 P/P_0 + 4 \times 10^{-5} (P/P_0)^2$	$183 \pm 3$	4.0	185
Ti <sub>2</sub> AlC	$1 - 0.0045 P/P_0 + 2 \times 10^{-5} (P/P_0)^2$	$186 \pm 2$	4.0	166
TiNbAlC	$1 - 0.0053 P/P_0 + 4 \times 10^{-5} (P/P_0)^2$	$181 \pm 3$	4.0	_
Nb <sub>2</sub> AlC	$1 - 0.0041 \ P/P_0 + 2 \times 10^{-5} \ (P/P_0)^2$	$208\pm2$	4.0	205

*lower* than those of the end members. Least squares fit of these data yield the results listed in table 3. Fitting the same results to the Birch–Murnaghan equation [35]:

$$P = 3/2K_0[(V/V_0)^{-7/3} - (V/V_0)^{-5/3}][1 + 3/4(K'_0 - 4)[(V/V_0)^{-2/3} - 1]]$$

yields  $K_0$  values of  $181 \pm 2$  GPa for TiNbAlC and  $184 \pm 3$  GPa for TiVAlC. For these calculations, the pressure derivative value,  $K'_0$  was chosen to be 4. The  $K_0$  values reported herein are in line with previous MAX phase results in that these solids are elastically quite stiff [11–16, 18, 19].

However, what is most noteworthy in this work is the solid solution *softening* effect observed. Replacing the V with Ti in V<sub>2</sub>AlC results in a 8.5% reduction in  $K_0$  (table 3); replacing Nb by Ti in Nb<sub>2</sub>AlC results in a 13% reduction in  $K_0$ , which is significant. When the results shown in figure 2(a) are compared to those of Nb<sub>2</sub>AlC (not shown) it becomes obvious that the majority of the drop in  $K_0$  is due to a significant softening along the *c*-axis. ( $a/a_0$  for Nb<sub>2</sub>AlC is almost identical to that of TiNbAlC;  $c/c_0$  for Nb<sub>2</sub>AlC is slightly higher than the highest values plotted in figure 2(a).) The exact reason for this state of affairs is not entirely clear at this time, but a recent careful study of the x-ray absorption spectroscopy, EELS, and full-potential augmented plane wave study of TiNbAlC and its end members yields an important clue [33]. The major conclusion of that work was that the Nb–Ti planes are corrugated at the atomic scale. Such a corrugation is fully consistent with the greater contraction of the *c*-axis, with pressure and the smaller contraction along the *a*-axis, as observed here.

Note that the alternate interpretation, viz. that the softening is due to a weakening of the bonds, is inconsistent with the fact that *both* the lattice parameters [21] and thermal expansion coefficients of the solid solution lie *in between* those of the end members. As important, the Debye–Waller factors at 10 K of *both* TiNbAlC and Nb<sub>2</sub>AlC are significantly *lower* than those of Ti<sub>2</sub>AlC [33]. Furthermore, given that the atomic radius of Ti (140 pm) is midway between those of V (135 pm) and Nb (145 pm) the softening observed cannot be ascribed to a size effect. Had it been a size effect, the softening would have occurred equally in TiVAlC. This comment notwithstanding, and as noted above the replacement of V by Ti in V<sub>2</sub>AlC also results in a 8.5% reduction in  $K_0$  and it is thus possible that some corrugation is occurring here as well. It would thus be useful to carry out a similar careful study of TiVAlC as carried out by Hug *et al* on TiNbAlC [33]. Note that without the latter study, it would have been difficult to understand the relatively high compressibility along the *c*-axis.

Finally, and while the agreement between our results and those deduced from recent *ab initio* calculations are decent, the agreement belies a serious problem. In the *ab initio* work [22],  $K_0$  of Ti<sub>2</sub>AlC is slightly underestimated, and that of TiVAlC is clearly in between the former and V<sub>2</sub>AlC. In other words, the *ab initio* work does *not* predict the softening observed. (The *ab initio* work did not consider TiNbAlC.) The exact reasons for the discrepancy are not clear

at this time, but a number of possibilities exist. The *ab initio* work assumes the temperature is absolute zero, and the crystals are perfect, none of which are the case here. Another, more likely, reason is the limitation imposed by the calculations. It is difficult to accurately capture a random solid solution in the relatively small supercells used for the calculations. The *ab initio* work is better suited for, and a better predictor of, ordered solid solutions.

### 4. Conclusions

Using a synchrotron radiation source and a diamond anvil cell, we measured the pressure dependences of the lattice parameters of TiNbAlC and TiVAlC. Up to a pressure of 55 GPa, no phase transformations were observed. The bulk moduli of the solid solutions were found to be lower than those of their end members. The effect of replacing V by Ti, however, is more subtle than that of replacing Nb by Ti. In the latter case,  $K_0$  decreases by 13%, with most of the drop taken by a softening of the *c*-axis. This effect is believed to occur because of the corrugation of the Ti–Nb planes in TiNbAlC.

# Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation (DMR 0231291) and the Division of Materials Research, NSF (DMR 0503711) to Drexel U. Part of the work was conducted at Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source (CHESS), supported by a NSF grant and NIH/NIGMS under award DMR 0225180. HPCAT is a collaboration between the Carnegie Institution, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, the University of Hawaii, the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, and the Carnegie/DOE Alliance Center (CDAC). We also would like to thank Dr C S Zha of Cornell University for his assistance.

### References

- [1] Barsoum M W and El-Raghy T 1996 J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 79 1953
- [2] Barsoum M W and El-Raghy T 1999 Metall. Mater. Trans. 30A 363
- [3] Barsoum M W and El-Raghy T 2000 Am. Sci. 89 336
- [4] Barsoum M W 2000 Prog. Solid State Chem. 28 201
- [5] Barsoum M W and Radovic M 2004 Encyclopedia of Materials: Science and Technology ed R W C K H J Buschow, M C Flemings, E J Kramer, S Mahajan and P Veyssiere (Amsterdam: Elsevier)
- [6] Nowotny H 1970 Prog. Solid State Chem. 2 27
- [7] Sundberg M et al 2004 Ceram. Int. 30 1899
- [8] Barsoum M W, Ali M and El-Raghy T 2000 Metall. Mater. Trans. 31A 1857
- [9] Radovic M, Ganguly A, Barsoum M W, Zhen T, Finkel P, Kalidindi S R and Lara-Curzio E 2006 Acta Mater. 54 2757
- [10] Zhou A G, Barsoum M W, Basu S, Kalidindi S R and El-Raghy T 2006 Acta Mater. 54 1631–9
- [11] Manoun B, Gulve R P, Saxena S K, Gupta S, Barsoum M W and Zha C S 2006 *Phys. Rev.* B **73** 024110
- [12] Manoun B et al 2004 Appl. Phys. Lett. 84 2799
- [13] Manoun B, Saxena S K, Liermann H P, Gulve R, Hoffman E, Barsoum M W, Hug G and Zha C S 2004 Appl. Phys. Lett. 85 1514
- [14] Manoun B, Saxena S K and Barsoum M W 2005 Appl. Phys. Lett. 86 101906
- [15] Manoun B, Saxena S K, El Raghy T and Barsoum M W 2006 Appl. Phys. Lett. 88 201902
- [16] Onodera A et al 1999 Appl. Phys. Lett. 74 3782
- [17] Kumar R S, Rekhi S, Cornelius A L and Barsoum M W 2005 Appl. Phys. Lett. 86 111904
- [18] Manoun B, Zhang F X, Saxena S K, Barsoum M W and El-Raghy T 2006 J. Phys. Chem. Solids 67 2091
- [19] Manoun B, Yang H, Saxena S K, Ganguly A, Barsoum M W, El Bali B, Liu Z X and Lachkar M 2007 J. Alloys Compounds 433 265–8
- [20] Schuster J C, Nowotny H and Vaccaro C 1980 J. Solid State Chem. 32 213

- [21] Salama I, El-Raghy T and Barsoum M W 2002 J. Alloys Compounds 347 271
- [22] Sun Z M, Ahuja R and Schneider J M 2003 Phys. Rev. B 68 224112
- [23] Sun Z et al 2003 Appl. Phys. Lett. 83 899
- [24] Sun Z et al 2004 Solid State Commun. 129 589
- [25] Barsoum M W, Radovic M, Zhen T, Finkel P and Kalidindi S R 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 085501
- [26] Barsoum M W et al 2003 Nat. Mater. 2 107
- [27] Gupta S and Barsoum M W 2004 J. Electrochem. Soc. 151 D24-9
- [28] Liermann H P, Singh A K, Manoun B, Saxena S K, Prakapenka V B and Shen G 2004 Int. J. Ref. Met. Hard Mater. 22 129
- [29] Liermann H P, Singh A K, Manoun B, Saxena S K and Zha C S 2005 Int. J. Ref. Met. Hard Mater. 23 109–14
- [30] Greene R G, Luo H and Ruoff A L 1994 Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 2075
- [31] Hammersley A P 1997 FIT2D: an introduction and overview ESRF Internal Report ESRF97HA02T
- [32] Hammersley A P, Svensson S O, Hanfland M, Fitch A N and Häusermann D 1996 Two-dimensional detector software: from real detector to idealised image or two-theta scan *High Pressure Res.* 14 235
- [33] Hug G, Jaouen M and Barsoum M W 2005 Phys. Rev. B 71 24105
- [34] Ahuja R 2006 private communication
- [35] Birch F 1978 J. Geophys. Res. 83 1257

8